

# Markscheme

# May 2018

# **Classical Greek**

# **Higher level**

# Paper 2

12 pages



This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.

-2-

It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of the IB Global Centre, Cardiff.

Annotation	Explanation	Associated shortcut
λ	Caret – indicates omission	
×	Incorrect point	
0	Ellipse that can be expanded	
	Horizontal wavy line that can be expanded	
	Highlight tool that can be expanded	
	On page comment – justifies application of assessment criteria	
?	Unclear content or language	
SEEN	SEEN - every scanned page must be annotated or marked as SEEN	
<	Good Response/Good Point	
	Vertical wavy line that can be expanded	

The following are the annotations available to use when marking responses.

You **must** make sure you have looked at all pages. Please put the **SEEN** annotation on any blank page, to indicate that you have seen it.

When using the **On page Comment** annotation, please keep the following in mind:

- Avoid covering the candidate's own writing. This can be done by writing your comments in the margins then running the arrow attached to the "**On page comment**" annotation to the appropriate place.
- Provide all comments in the target language.

You may provide summative comments at the end of the script, but please do NOT record numerical marks on the scripts.

# Section A

### **Option A: Homer**

### Extract 1 Homer, *Iliad* 16.394–414

- (a) Award [1] each up to [2] for details such as: Cuts off foremost phalanxes (πρώτας φάλαγγας); he drove them back towards the ships (έπὶ νῆας ἕεργε παλιμπετές); attacked them between the ships and the river (μεσηγὺ νηῶν καὶ ποταμοῦ καὶ τείχεος ὑψηλοῖο κτεῖνε μεταΐσσων).
  - (b) Award [1] each up to [2] for any details such as: he sat crouching (ένὶ δίφρω ἦστο ἀλείς) in his chariot; he dropped the reins/is not in control of the chariot (χειρῶν ἡνία ήἴχθησαν); his mind is distraught (πλήγη φρένας).
  - (c) Patroclus drags Thestor from the chariot (έκ δίφροιο) as the fisherman drags the fish out of the sea (πόντοιο θύραζε) [1]; with a bright spear (δουρὶ φαεινῷ) as the fisherman with a line and a hook of gleaming bronze (λίνῳ καὶ ἤνοπι χαλκῷ) [1]; over the chariot-rim (ὑπὲρ ἄντυγος) as the fisherman from a jutting rock (πέτρῃ ἕπι προβλῆτι) [1]. Accept other answers that highlight the elements of the simile such as, Thestor is fish (ἰχθὺν), Patroclus fisherman (τις φὼς).
  - (d) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Total: [10]

#### **Option A: Homer**

#### Extract 2 Homer, *lliad* 22.167–187

- 2. (a) Zeus suggests that Hector's fate is still in the balance/laments his fate [1], while Athena declares he cannot escape death [1].
  - (b) Mark only for length of syllables. Award **[1]** per line if all correct; **[0]** otherwise.
  - (c) The solemnity of Zeus's speech is highlighted through various stylistic devices. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale:
    [2] if the argument is supported by 3–4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by 1–2 developed points; [0] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given.

Points may include:

- solemn designation of Zeus: πατήρ άνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε
- pathos of Zeus's feelings for Hector: ŵ πόποι; φίλον ἄνδρα; έμὸν δ' όλοφύρεται ἦτορ Ἐκτορος
- use of epic epithets: δῖος Ἀχιλλεὺς, etc
- use of formulae:  $\tau\eta\nu\delta'$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\mu\epsilon\iota\beta\phi\mu\epsilon\nu\circ\varsigma...$
- hendyadis: φράζεσθε καὶ μητιάασθε
- solemn settings of Hector's sacrifices: "Ιδης έν κορυφῆσι πολυπτύχου; έν πόλει άκροτάτῃ
- sky-related attributes of Zeus: άργικέραυνε, κελαινεφές, νεφεληγερέτα.

# **Option B: History**

# Extract 3 Herodotus, *The Histories* 1.46.1–47.1

- **3.** (a) He stopped grieving (πένθεος Κροῖσον ἀπέπαυσε) **[1]**; he started planning how to stop them (ένέβησε ές φροντίδα ... καταλαβεῖν αὐτῶν ... τὴν δύναμιν) **[1]**.
  - (b) Award [1] each up to [3] for any correct answer such as:
    - Central Greece: Δελφούς (Phocis/Mount Parnassus); Άβας τὰς Φωκέων (Phocis);
       Άμφιάρεων (of Oropos/Boeotia); Τροφώνιον (Lebadaea, in Boeotia)
    - North/western Greece: Δωδώνην (Epirus)
    - Ionia: τῆς Μιλησίης ἐς Βραγχίδας: (territory of Miletus).
  - (c) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
  - (d) To test their reliability (άπεπειρᾶτο or similar) [1]; so that he could send other envoys to the most reliable oracles (ώς ... έπείρηται ... εί...) [1]. Accept reference to the purpose of the second envoys, with Greek quotation.

Total: [10]

### **Option B: History**

### Extract 4 Herodotus, *The Histories* 7.225.2–226.2

- **4.** (a) Award **[1]** each up to **[2]** for any point such as: the Thebans were not fully committed to resistance; they subsequently collaborated with the Persians; they were more committed to defending Thebes; the story might therefore have been invented (as propaganda).
  - (b) It is close to the narrow part of the road (τὸ στεινὸν τῆς ὁδοῦ) [1]; at the entrance of the gorge (ἐν τῆ ἐσόδῳ) [1]. Accept reference to the statue of Leonidas (λίθινος λέων ἐπὶ Λεωνίδῃ).
  - (c) Herodotus highlights the Greeks' heroic behaviour with a selected choice of material, the way this is presented, and the diction he uses.

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3–4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by 1–2 developed points; [0] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given. Points may include:

- mention of monument (ὅκου νῦν ὁ λίθινος λέων...)
- heroic language: άνὴρ ἄριστος
- list of weapons, perhaps hyperbole (μαχαίρησι ... καὶ χερσὶ καὶ στόμασι)
- the Greeks fighting up to the very point of losing their swords (τοῖσι ἀὐτῶν ἐτύγχανον ἔτι περιεοῦσαι)
- verbs indicating the numerical superiority of the Persians (συγχώσαντες)
- well-known anecdote of Dieneces (*eg* λέγεται, φασί).

# **Option C: Tragedy**

# Extract 5 Euripides, *Electra* 774–796

- (a) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
  - (b) Award **[1]** each up to **[2]** for the short explanation, which can include points such as: conventions of tragedy did not allow the staging of murder; opportunity for graphically comparing the sacrifice of the bullock and the killing of Aegisthus; the killing takes place in a different location; *etc*.
  - (c) Alphaeus is a river [1] in the Peloponnese (or any other more specific indication) [1].
  - (d) The myrtle garland (μυρσίνης κάρα πλόκους) [1]; the sacrifice of a bullock (βουθυτῶν) [1]; the cleansing (λούτρ') [1].

# **Option C: Tragedy**

# Extract 6 Sophocles, *Electra* 577–600

- 6. (a) Award [1] each up to [2] for any point such as: sleeping with one guilty of violence  $(\xi \upsilon v \epsilon \upsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu v \alpha \iota \tilde{\omega})$ ; with whom she killed her father ( $\mu \epsilon \theta'$  ov  $\delta \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \delta \nu \alpha \mu \delta \nu \dots \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ); and bearing children ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \sigma \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \tilde{\varsigma}$ ).
  - (b) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
  - (c) Electra's argument is characterized by confrontational tone, contrapositions and strong language.

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3–4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by 1–2 developed points; [0] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given. Points may include:

- stress on (also repetition) νόμω ... νόμον
- confrontational tone with frequent repetition of the pronoun/adjective  $\sigma \delta v$ ,  $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon v$ ,  $\sigma \upsilon$ ,  $\sigma \epsilon$
- contraposition βροτοῖς ... σαυτῆ
- άλλον άντ' άλλου considered as figure of repetition, or gnome
- repetition άντ' άλλου ... άνθ' ότου
- hypothetical arguments introduced by  $\epsilon i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  (also anaphora)
- repetition εύσεβεῖς ... εύσεβῶν
- contraposition δεσπότιν ... ή μητέρ.

# **Option D: Agon**

# Extract 7 Thucydides, The Peloponnesian War 4.19

- (a) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
  - (b) Award [1] each up to [3] for any argument or point of an argument such as: it is better for both not to run risks (ἄμεινον ... άμφοτέροις ... μὴ διακινδυνεύεσθαι); if they feel a moral obligation (όφείλων γὰρ ἤδη ὁ ἐναντίος ... ἀνταποδοῦναι ἀρετήν); enemies are inclined by honour to stand by their agreement (ἐτοιμότερός ἐστιν αίσχύνῃ ἑμμένειν οἶς ξυνέθετο); enemies are willing to give way to those who (willingly) yield to them (πεφύκασί τε τοῖς μὲν ἑκουσίως ἐνδοῦσιν ἀνθησσᾶσθαι μεθ' ἡδονῆς). Other responses can be awarded marks, provided that they are precise answers to the question. Quotation of the Greek text is included here for reference only.
  - (c) Award [1] each up to [2] for any points such as: turning fortunes of war (παρατυχούσης τινός σωτηρίας and/or έπικρατήσας τὰ πλείω τοῦ πολέμου); enmity between Sparta and Athens (τὰς μεγάλας ἔχθρας and/or τοὺς μειζόνως ἐχθροὺς); reciprocal advantage of agreement (άμφοτέροις); necessity of a fair deal (μὴ άπὸ τοῦ ἴσου ξυμβῆ); excessive pride deriving from present fortunes (ὑπεραυχοῦντα).
  - (d) The Spartans might force their way out (βία διαφύγοιεν) [1]; or they might succumb even more to the Athenians' power (μαλλον αν χειρωθεῖεν) [1].

### **Option D: Agon**

#### Extract 8 Sophocles, Antigone 473–496

- 8. (a) High-spirited horses can be checked by the bit [1] as Antigone can be checked by Creon's power [1]. Other answers on their merits.
  - (b) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
  - (c) Creon's argument is strengthened by numerous figures of speech and stylistic devices.

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3–4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by 1–2 developed points; [0] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given. Points may include:

- contraposition φρονεῖν μέγ' ... δοῦλός τῶν πέλας
- repetition/polyptoton ὑβρίζειν ... ὕβρις
- repetition/polyptoton δέδρακεν... δεδρακυῖαν
- contraposition έγὼ μὲν ούκ ἀνήρ, αὕτη δ' ἀνήρ
- · imagery of misdeeds performed at night
- semantic field of being discovered/captured: ἡρῆσθαι, ἀλούς
- contraposition έν κακοῖσί ... καλλύνειν.

### **Option F: Scientific knowledge**

#### Extract 9 Hippocrates, *De aere aquis et locis* 7

- 9. (a) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
  - (b) Heat from the sun (εἶναι θερμὰ or ἡλίου καίοντος) [1]; (lack of) flow (ούκ ἀπόρρυτα) [1]; presence of new fresh-water (τοῦ τε ὁμβρίου ὕδατος ἐπιφερομένου ... νέου) [1].
  - (c) The wasting/melting *etc* of the flesh [1] into the spleen [1].
  - (d) Award [1] for each up to [2] for examples which may include: νοσώδεα/νοσώδη;
     ὑγιείην/ὑγιείαν; θέρεος/θέρους, *etc*.

Total: [10]

#### **Option F: Scientific knowledge**

#### Extract 10 Plato, *Phaedrus* 272d–273b

- **10.** (a) The sophists/rhetors (or similar) **[1]**. They criticize the Socratic method/the search for truth/the necessity of investigating the soul (or any relevant answer) **[1]**.
  - (b) Award **[1]** each up to **[2]** for details such as: he was Sicilian, perhaps teacher of Lysias, probable teacher of Gorgias, author of a rhetorical handbook, and any detail about his rhetorical technique.
  - (c) The passage focuses on the contraposition between plausible ( $\epsilon$ i $\kappa$ ó $\varsigma$ ) and truth ( $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota\alpha$ ).

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3–4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by 1–2 developed points; [0] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given. Points may include:

- contrast between  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} v \tau \alpha$  and  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \dot{\kappa} \dot{\delta} \tau \alpha$
- juxtaposition / polyptoton etc. τὰ πραχθέντα πεπραγμένα and είκότως είκότα
- stress on  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\dot{\alpha}$  throughout the passage
- ambiguous notion of τέχνῃ, cf. also oì περὶ τοὺς λόγους τεχνικοὶ
- contraposition τὸ είκὸς ... τῷ άληθεῖ, and άληθείας... τοῦ πιθανοῦ
- disparaging notion of "the plausible": τὸ είκὸς ἡ τὸ τῷ πλήθει δοκοῦν
- dramatic use of real people (Teisias): είπέτω κτλ.

# Section B

- 11 -

### Instructions

Section B is assessed by the assessment criteria found below and published in the subject guide.

Criterion A (Range of evidence) assesses to what extent the evidence represents both prescribed passages and supplementary reading. A candidate is expected to:

- use relevant examples from the prescribed passages to support the response (candidates are not expected to provide exact quotes)
- demonstrate knowledge of historical, political and cultural contexts beyond those embedded in the prescribed passages.

Criterion B (Understanding and argument) assesses how well the response demonstrates understanding of the chosen option. Ideally, a candidate will:

- build a critical analysis that responds directly to the prompt in a clear, logical and imaginative way
- fully address the contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples related to the chosen option.

Total: **[12]** 

#### **Criterion A: Range of evidence**

• To what extent does the evidence represent both prescribed passages and supplementary reading?

Marks	Level descriptor	
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.	
1	The response includes weak evidence from the prescribed passages only.	
2	The response includes specific evidence from the prescribed passages only.	
3	The response includes evidence from both the prescribed passages <b>and</b> supplementary reading.	
4	The response includes specific evidence from both the prescribed passages <b>and</b> supplementary reading.	

- Criterion B: Understanding and argumentHow well does the response demonstrate understanding of the chosen option?
- How well is the argument constructed?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	The response demonstrates a limited understanding of the chosen option without addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples. The argument has limited focus, coherence and development.
3–4	The response demonstrates limited understanding of the contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the chosen examples. The argument has focus but has limited coherence and is not developed.
5–6	The response demonstrates an understanding of the chosen option by addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples in a limited way. The argument has focus and coherence but is not developed.
7–8	The response demonstrates an understanding of the chosen option by addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples. The argument has focus and coherence, and is developed.