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The following are the annotations available to use when marking responses.

| Annotation | Explanation | Associated shortcut |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | Caret - indicates omission |  |
| $\times$ | Incorrect point |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | Ellipse that can be expanded |  |
| $\square$ | Horizontal wavy line that can be expanded |  |
| I" | Highlight tool that can be expanded |  |
| T | On page comment - justifies application of assessment criteria |  |
| $?$ | Unclear content or language |  |
| SEEN | SEEN - every scanned page must be annotated or marked as SEEN |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Good Response/Good Point |  |
| \} | Vertical wavy line that can be expanded |  |

You must make sure you have looked at all pages. Please put the SEEN annotation on any blank page, to indicate that you have seen it.

When using the On page Comment annotation, please keep the following in mind:

- Avoid covering the candidate's own writing. This can be done by writing your comments in the margins then running the arrow attached to the "On page comment" annotation to the appropriate place.
- Provide all comments in the target language.

You may provide summative comments at the end of the script, but please do NOT record numerical marks on the scripts.

## Section A

## Option A: Homer

## Extract 1 Homer, Iliad 16.394-414

1. (a) Award [1] each up to [2] for details such as: Cuts off foremost phalanxes (при́таऽ

 $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \tau ̃ \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha і ̈ \sigma \sigma \omega v)$.
(b) Award [1] each up to [2] for any details such as: he sat crouching ( $\varepsilon$ vì $\delta i ́ \varphi \rho \omega \tilde{\eta} \sigma \tau o \quad \alpha \quad \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \varphi) ~ i n ~$
 mind is distraught ( $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \varphi \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon^{v} \alpha \varsigma$ ).
(c) Patroclus drags Thestor from the chariot ( $\dot{\kappa} \kappa \delta^{\prime} \varphi \rho \rho о$ o) as the fisherman drags the fish out of the sea ( (тóvтоเo $\theta \dot{\prime} \rho \alpha \zeta \varepsilon$ ) [1]; with a bright spear ( $\delta$ oupì $\varphi \alpha \varepsilon ו v \tilde{\omega})$ as the fisherman with a

 answers that highlight the elements of the simile such as, Thestor is fish (ix0ivv), Patroclus fisherman ( $\tau \iota \varsigma \varphi \varrho \varsigma)$.
(d) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [ 0$]$ if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Total:
[10]

## Option A: Homer

Extract 2 Homer, Iliad 22.167-187
2. (a) Zeus suggests that Hector's fate is still in the balance/laments his fate [1], while Athena declares he cannot escape death [1].
(b) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
(c) The solemnity of Zeus's speech is highlighted through various stylistic devices. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3-4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by $1-2$ developed points; [0] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given.
Points may include:

- solemn designation of Zeus: $\pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho \alpha ́ v \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \varepsilon$
 "Ектороя
- use of epic epithets: $\delta$ ĩos 'A $\chi$ เ $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ v̀̀s, etc
- use of formulae: $\tau \grave{\eta} v \delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ı \beta o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s . .$.
- hendyadis: $\varphi \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ к $\alpha i ̀ \mu \eta \tau \iota \alpha ́ \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$
 о́крото́тп!



## Option B: History

## Extract 3 Herodotus, The Histories 1.46.1-47.1



(b) Award [1] each up to [3] for any correct answer such as:

- Central Greece: $\Delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ où (Phocis/Mount Parnassus); "A $\beta \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ \Phi \omega \kappa \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu ~(P h o c i s) ; ~$ 'A $\mu \varphi$ เónge
- North/western Greece: $\Delta \omega \delta \omega \dot{v} \eta v$ (Epirus)
- Ionia: $\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma$ Mı $\lambda \eta \sigma$ ínऽ $\varepsilon \varsigma$ B $\rho \alpha \gamma \chi i ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma$ : (territory of Miletus).
(c) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

 second envoys, with Greek quotation.


## Option B: History

## Extract 4 Herodotus, The Histories 7.225.2-226.2

4. (a) Award [1] each up to [2] for any point such as: the Thebans were not fully committed to resistance; they subsequently collaborated with the Persians; they were more committed to defending Thebes; the story might therefore have been invented (as propaganda).

 иعのví̊q).
(c) Herodotus highlights the Greeks' heroic behaviour with a selected choice of material, the way this is presented, and the diction he uses.

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3-4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by $1-2$ developed points; [ 0 ] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given.
Points may include:

- mention of monument (öкоu vũv ò $\lambda$ í $\theta$ voos $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega v . .$.


- the Greeks fighting up to the very point of losing their swords (тoĩ $\sigma \iota \alpha u ́ t \tilde{\omega} v$ ह́tú $\gamma \chi \alpha v o v$ हैँı $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon 0$ ṽ $\alpha \iota$ )
- verbs indicating the numerical superiority of the Persians ( $\sigma \cup \gamma \chi \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$
- well-known anecdote of Dieneces (eg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}, \varphi \alpha \sigma i)$.


## Option C: Tragedy

## Extract 5 Euripides, Electra 774-796

5. (a) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
(b) Award [1] each up to [2] for the short explanation, which can include points such as: conventions of tragedy did not allow the staging of murder; opportunity for graphically comparing the sacrifice of the bullock and the killing of Aegisthus; the killing takes place in a different location; etc.
(c) Alphaeus is a river [1] in the Peloponnese (or any other more specific indication) [1].
(d) The myrtle garland ( $\mu \cup \rho \sigma$ ívŋऽ $\kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \pi \lambda$ óкоus) [1]; the sacrifice of a bullock ( $\beta o u \forall v \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ) [1]; the cleansing ( $\lambda$ oú $\rho^{\prime}$ ) [1].

## Option C: Tragedy

## Extract 6 Sophocles, Electra 577-600

6. (a) Award [1] each up to [2] for any point such as: sleeping with one guilty of violence ( $\xi \cup v \varepsilon v ́ \delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} ~ \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu v \alpha i ́ \omega$ ); with whom she killed her father ( $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$ oũ $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ tòv $\alpha$ 人 $\mu o ̀ v ~ . . . ~$ $\varepsilon ́ \xi \alpha \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$; and bearing children ( $\pi \alpha \iota \delta$ отоเฉะ̃ऽ).
(b) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
(c) Electra's argument is characterized by confrontational tone, contrapositions and strong language.

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3-4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by $1-2$ developed points; [ 0 ] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given.
Points may include:

- stress on (also repetition) vó $\mu \underset{\sim}{\omega}$.. vó $\mu o v$
- confrontational tone with frequent repetition of the pronoun/adjective $\sigma o ́ v, \sigma \varepsilon ́ \theta \varepsilon v, \sigma u ́, \sigma \varepsilon$
- contraposition $\beta$ ротoĩs ... $\sigma \alpha \cup \tau \tilde{n}$
- $\alpha \lambda \lambda o v \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau^{\prime} \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o u$ considered as figure of repetition, or gnome
- repetition $\alpha ́ v \tau^{\prime} \alpha \not a \lambda \lambda o u \ldots \alpha ́ v \theta^{\prime}$ őtov
- hypothetical arguments introduced by $\varepsilon i$ i $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ (also anaphora)
- repetition $\varepsilon u ́ \sigma \varepsilon ß \varepsilon \pi ̃ \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon u ́ \sigma \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} v$



## Option D: Agon

## Extract $7 \quad$ Thucydides, The Peloponnesian War 4.19

7. (a) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
(b) Award [1] each up to [3] for any argument or point of an argument such as: it is better for


 enemies are willing to give way to those who (willingly) yield to them ( $\pi \varepsilon \varphi$ v́к $\alpha \sigma$ í $\tau \varepsilon$ тoĩऽ $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$
 provided that they are precise answers to the question. Quotation of the Greek text is included here for reference only.
(c) Award [1] each up to [2] for any points such as: turning fortunes of war ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \cup \chi o v ́ \sigma \eta S$




(d) The Spartans might force their way out ( $\beta$ í $\alpha, \delta \iota \alpha \varphi$ ú $\gamma o t \varepsilon v$ ) [1]; or they might succumb even more to the Athenians' power ( $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov $\alpha \hat{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon เ \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v$ ) [1].

Total: [10]

## Option D: Agon

## Extract 8 Sophocles, Antigone 473-496

8. (a) High-spirited horses can be checked by the bit [1] as Antigone can be checked by Creon's power [1]. Other answers on their merits.
(b) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
(c) Creon's argument is strengthened by numerous figures of speech and stylistic devices.

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3-4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by $1-2$ developed points; [ 0 ] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given.
Points may include:

- imagery/metaphors of strength: $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о v ~ . . . ~ \sigma i ́ \delta \eta \rho o v ~ . . . ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\eta} ; \theta \cup \mu о \cup \mu \varepsilon ́ v o u s$ ïmtous

- repetition/polyptoton ù $\beta \rho i ́ \zeta \varepsilon ı v ~ . . . ~ ט ̌ ß \rho ı s ~$
- repetition/polyptoton $\delta \varepsilon ́ \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \nu . . . ~ \delta \varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \kappa u \tau ̃ \alpha \nu$

- imagery of misdeeds performed at night
- semantic field of being discovered/captured: ท̀̀ $\rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{L}$, ò $\lambda$ oùs
- contraposition $\varepsilon$ ह́v к $\alpha \kappa о ̃ ̃ \sigma i ́ ~ . . . ~ к \alpha \lambda \lambda u ́ v \varepsilon ı v . ~$


## Option F: Scientific knowledge

## Extract 9 Hippocrates, De aere aquis et locis 7

9. (a) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.


(c) The wasting/melting etc of the flesh [1] into the spleen [1].
(d) Award [1] for each up to [2] for examples which may include: vo $\sigma \omega \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \alpha / v o \sigma \omega ் \delta \eta$; ù $\gamma \iota \varepsilon i ́ \eta v /$ Ү̀ $\gamma \iota \varepsilon i ́ \alpha v ; ~ \theta \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon o \varsigma / \theta \varepsilon ́ \rho o u \varsigma, ~ e t c . ~$

Total: [10]

## Option F: Scientific knowledge

## Extract 10 Plato, Phaedrus 272d-273b

10. (a) The sophists/rhetors (or similar) [1]. They criticize the Socratic method/the search for truth/the necessity of investigating the soul (or any relevant answer) [1].
(b) Award [1] each up to [2] for details such as: he was Sicilian, perhaps teacher of Lysias, probable teacher of Gorgias, author of a rhetorical handbook, and any detail about his rhetorical technique.
(c) The passage focuses on the contraposition between plausible ( $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \kappa$ кós) and truth ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ).

Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] up to [4] for any point supporting the argument. Then award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument using the following scale: [2] if the argument is supported by 3-4 developed points; [1] if the argument is supported by $1-2$ developed points; [ 0 ] if the argument is supported by no developed points or if no details from the text are given.
Points may include:

- contrast between $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \alpha$ and $\tau \alpha ̀$ हíкó $\tau \alpha$
- juxtaposition / polyptoton etc. т $\alpha \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \alpha-\pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ ย́кó $\tau \omega \varsigma$ - عíкó $\tau \alpha$
- stress on $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \theta \varepsilon i ́ \alpha$ throughout the passage



- dramatic use of real people (Teisias): $\varepsilon i ́ \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$.


## Section B

## Instructions

Section B is assessed by the assessment criteria found below and published in the subject guide.
Criterion A (Range of evidence) assesses to what extent the evidence represents both prescribed passages and supplementary reading. A candidate is expected to:

- use relevant examples from the prescribed passages to support the response (candidates are not expected to provide exact quotes)
- demonstrate knowledge of historical, political and cultural contexts beyond those embedded in the prescribed passages.

Criterion B (Understanding and argument) assesses how well the response demonstrates understanding of the chosen option. Ideally, a candidate will:

- build a critical analysis that responds directly to the prompt in a clear, logical and imaginative way
- fully address the contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples related to the chosen option.

Total: [12]

## Criterion A: Range of evidence

- To what extent does the evidence represent both prescribed passages and supplementary reading?

| Marks | Level descriptor |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. |
| 1 | The response includes weak evidence from the prescribed passages only. |
| 2 | The response includes specific evidence from the prescribed passages only. |
| 3 | The response includes evidence from both the prescribed passages and <br> supplementary reading. |
| 4 | The response includes specific evidence from both the prescribed passages <br> and supplementary reading. |

## Criterion B: Understanding and argument

- How well does the response demonstrate understanding of the chosen option?
- How well is the argument constructed?

| Marks | Level descriptor |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. |
| $1-2$ | The response demonstrates a limited understanding of the chosen option <br> without addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the <br> examples. <br> The argument has limited focus, coherence and development. |
| $3-4$ | The response demonstrates limited understanding of the contexts and <br> background knowledge pertinent to the chosen examples. <br> The argument has focus but has limited coherence and is not developed. |
| $5-6$ | The response demonstrates an understanding of the chosen option by <br> addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples in a <br> limited way. <br> The argument has focus and coherence but is not developed. |
| $7-8$ | The response demonstrates an understanding of the chosen option by <br> addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples. <br> The argument has focus and coherence, and is developed. |

